## How Contract Pitfalls Undermine Enforceability of Employment Concessions

written by vickyp | September 16, 2021



HR directors don't generally go to law school. So, I sometimes think it's unfair to expect them to navigate the legal intricacies so many HR operations entail. <u>Employment contracting</u> is a perfect example.

**Scenario:** In the wake of <u>COVID-19</u>, you need to restructure an employee's compensation package by shifting base salary to contingent bonus payments. To avoid the risk of constructive dismissal, you incorporate the new terms into a revised employment contract which includes boilerplate language from the original agreement indicating that the employee's obligations are "<u>in consideration of employment</u>."

Question: What did you do wrong?

Answer: Your contract provides no real consideration.

**Explanation:** Contracts Law, 101. Promises aren't legally binding unless the promisor receives "consideration," or something of value in return for making them. Providing employment is valid consideration when contracting with a new employee. But that's not the case with current employees since you've already provided them with employment. Result: Concessions contained in revised contracts must provide the existing employee some kind of new consideration.

Of course, it's not just constructive dismissal. From protecting intellectual property to <u>limiting termination notice</u>, hidden contract law pitfalls can undermine just about any initiative HR directors undertake. If you want an excellent overview of these contractual issues from a seasoned HR lawyer, Lisa Goodfellow, come to our 90-minute <u>webinar on September 29</u>.